July 30, 2021

The House Legislative and Angressional Reapportionment Committee and Senate Reapportionment and Redistricting Committee Coverdell Legislative Office Building
18 Capitol Square, Suite 402
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Re: Written Testimony for the July 30, 2021 Virtual Town Hall

Dear Chair Rich, Chair Kennedy, and Committee Members:

The Southern Poverty Law Center(LC) is a norprofit, nonpartisan organization dedicated to upholding the undamental right of all citizens to vote and to ensuring that their vote is not diluted by unfair or unlawful redistricting plans.

On June15, 2021—the day the House Legislative and Congressional Reapportionment Committee and Senate Reapportionment and Redistricting Committee (collectible by, the "Redistricting Committee") held the ist town halfor this redistricting cycleSPLC provided oral and written testimony which included several proposals that, if adopted yould allow more Georgians to participate and provide more meaningful inputs the Join Redistricting Committee Sedune 15, 2021 SPLC written testimony, attached hereto as Exhibit A, at 3. Sadly, Redistricting Committee did not adopt any of the sproposals The predictable results that the process excluded many Georgians—especially ard to-reach communities and Georgians of colerand those who could participate were unable to offer in with update Census numbers

The JointRedistricting Committee https://www.neerous entreaties by SPLC and other organizationsincluding the ACLU of Georgia and Asian Americans Advancing-Jakkinta, to provide notice of the redistricting town halls in languages other than English. June 15 writt2 n-0.00g.4(2 n-0.00g.4)

Receiving no response to tt-5.33M(2)14.2(p) 113.1(n)0.9(i)6.4(o)6.4(o)1(s)3.9(e),13.(o) enueens6n t8

knows as Chair Kennedy stated at the June 28 town hall in Atlanta, that you "can't do a lot until [you] collect the Census data." SeAnd the Google document form the JoRedistricting Committee provided for the

EXHIBIT A

June 15, 2021

The House Legislative & Congressional Reapportionment Committee and Senate Reapportionment and Redistricting Committee Coverdell Legislative Office Building 18 Capitol Square, Suite 402 Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Re: Fair Redistricting and Redistricting Process in Georgia

Dear Chair Rich, Chair Kennedy, and Committee Members:

The Southern Poverty Law Center (SPLC) is proving non-partisan organization dedicated to upholding the fundamentaght of all citizens to vote and to ensuring that their vote is not diluted by unfair or unlawful redistricting plans. Thus, SPLC strongly encourages the Joint Redistricting Committees to engage in meaningful, open, public, and transparent dialogsidewith and community groups as you collect important information about residents, their communities of interest, and how they have been helped and harmed by redistricting in the past. Fair redistricting plans are essentiTld Senate Reap99.3 363.88 D Tf 1 0 0 1 8 Tm 0 g ET eer oups as you collectdist

plan which would allow black voters, in one district, an opportunity to elect a candidate of their choice." ³ The Conference Committee agreed to a 57.24% Black Gritghession District after Chairman Wilson, according to Senator K idd, "indicated very strongly that that's as high as they (the House Conferees) could go." ⁴ Senator Bond testified that the Conference Committee provided for a Fifth Congression District with a 57 percent Black population because louse Conferees knew that the 57 percent black population district was in fact a 46 percent black voting district and that a black candidate for office would be unsuccessful in that district." ⁵ After reviewing all of the evidence, the federal courtoncluded:

The only reason the Georgia General Assembly failed to enlarge the black population in the Fifth District more than it did and failed to unite black neighborhoods was solely because the population was of the black race. There was no legitite, nondiscriminatory reason why the Fifth District was drawn the way it was. . . . The Fifth District was drawn to suppress black voting strength in Georgia.

The D epartment of Justice twice denied Section 5 preclearance to G eorgia's 1991 State House and Senate redistricting plans, after concluding that they fragmented certain concentrations of Black population in the state to minimize the number of majority Black districts and to ensure the reelection of white incumbents at the expense of Blatters? Then, in defending its 2001 redistricting plans before another thregudge federal court, Georgia focused its expert analystismopting to provehat Black voters in certain districts would have an "equal opportunity" to elect a candidate of their choice where they composed less than a majority of the districts' voters, in an effort to avoid its obligation to draw majority

EXHIBIT B



Hello, my name is Jack Genbergand I am & Senior Staff Attorneywith SPLC Action Funda 501(c)(4) affiliateof the Southern Poverty Law Center PLC Action Funds dedicated upholding the fundamental right of all citizens to volteour June 15th virtual town hall testimony we strongly encourage the Redistricting Committees to provide community members an opportunity of the strong strong

EXHIBIT C

Hello, my name is Jack Genberg and I am a Senior Staff Attorney with SPLC Action Fund, a 501(c)(4) affiliate of the Southern Poverty Law Centerhich is dedicated to upholding the fundamentalight of all citizens to vote.

Yesterday I discussed how Georgia's redistricting process **mediat**ct the State's diversity which includes over 100,000 Georgian with limited English proficiency. These Georgians canonly be included the Redistricting Committees rovide information in languages ther than English.

But even if Georgians with limited English proficiency given the opportunity participate, the process multiprove foreveryone else too, at nyone is to have meaningful input.

The Google document form the Committees provided the submission of written testimony does not allow community members to attach documents, which forecloses their ability to submit proposed redistricting maps mmunity of interest maps, or longer testimony that includes text and phics.

Chairman Kennedy, you said yesterday that you "can't do a lot until [you] collect the census data." Weagreewith you. And that alsapplies to the publicate knowwill comperson, onevote.

While someforum for listening to the public is for substantive and meaningful input, rather than "check the box" of saying you listened to the pumembers to submit attachments rather than simportantly, there musbe an opportunity for pureleased.