N FENED A E COR OF APPEAL FOR E ELE EN C RC

CA E NO

PLA N FF APPELLAN RE PON E O DEFENDAN ME EN E OPPO ED MO ON O AY APPEAL AND CRO APPEAL

Mary Bauer Samuel Brooke SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER 400 Washington Ave. Montgomery, Alabama 36104 T: (334) 956-8200

Linton Joaquin Karen C. Tumlin Shiu-Ming Cheer Melissa S. Keaney NATIONAL IMMIGRATION LAW CENTER 3435 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2850 Los Angeles, California 90010 T: (213) 639-3900 Cecillia D. Wang

Additional Co-Counsel for Plaintiffs / Appellants:

Andre I. Segura Elora Mukherjee Omar C. Jadwat Lee Gelernt Michael K. T. Tan AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION Foster S. Maer Ghita Schwarz Diana S. Sen LATINO JUSTICE PRLDEF 99 Hudson Street, 14th Floor

a stay will not cause any substantial hardship to State Defendants. As such, a stay of the litigation is unwarranted and should be denied.

LE AL ANDARD

A stay of proceedings is an extraordinary request, especially where the issue on appeal is whether to affirm or reverse the denial of a preliminary injunction. Granting a stay while an appeal of a denial of a preliminary injunction is pending is tantamount to a temporary affirmation of the denial of the preliminary injunction but without any consideration of the merits of the case. *Cf. Hines v. D'Artois*, 531 Furthermore, "the suppliant for a stay *must* make out a clear case of hardship or inequity in being required to go forward, if there is even a fair possibility that the stay for which he prays will work damage to someone else." *Landis*, 299 U.S. at 255 (emphasis added). In the instant case, harm to HICA and class members is clear. *See* HICA Blue Br. at 64-68. State Defendants can point to no hardship or inequity, and consequently their motion should fail. *Landis*, 299 U.S. at 255.

CAPLAN FF AND CLA MEMBER CON N E O

D).⁴ The risk to Plaintiffs and class members of private discrimination and lack of recourse in the courts due to the implementation of Section 27 is on-going.

Section 30 is also causing irreparable harm as it "puts aliens who are unable to verify their lawful residency between a rock and a hard place." *Cent. Ala. Fair Housing Ctr. v. Magee ("CAFHC")*, No. 11-cv-982, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 142788, at *15, 2011 WL 6182334, at *3 (M.D. Ala. Dec. 12, 2011). Section 30

A E DEFENDAN [†]A E NO E ABL [†]ED ANY [†]ARD [†]P OR NEQ Y CA ED BY PROCEED N

Arizona cannot be expected to dispose of consideration of the other four provisions of HB 56 that this Court will consider in the instant appeal.⁶

On Behalf of Counsel for Appellants

Mary Bauer Samuel Brooke SOUTHERN POVERTY LAW CENTER 400 Washington Ave. Montgomery, Alabama 36104 T: (334) 956-8200

Andre Segura Elora Mukherjee Omar C. Jadwat

ADVANCING JUSTICE

CER F CA E OF ER CE