

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

P.B., by and through his next friend,
Cassandra Berry, et al.

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JOHN WHITE, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Case No. 2:10-cv-04049
Section A
Judge Jay C. Zainey
Magistrate Judge Karen Wells Roby

ORDER

The Plaintiffs, the State Defendants, and the Defendant-Intervenor Orleans Parish School Board (“OPSB”) have agreed upon the substantive provisions of a Consent Judgment to resolve all of the Plaintiffs’ claims in the above-captioned case pursuant to the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004 (“IDEA”), 20 U.S.C. § 1400 *et seq.*; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (“Section 504”), 29 U.S.C. § 794; and Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA” or “Title II”), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.*

Based on the Court’s review of the Consent Judgment, and following the Rule 23 class action notice and comment process and the February 9, 2015 fairness hearing, the Court finds the Consent Judgment to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and not the product of collusion among the Plaintiffs and the Defendants. The Court additionally finds that there is no reason to doubt the Consent Judgment’s fairness and adequacy, that the Consent Judgment has no obvious deficiencies, and that the Consent Judgment does not grant preferential treatment to the Plaintiff Class Representatives. The Court further finds that the Consent Judgment does not violate the Constitution, statute, or jurisprudence.

Accordingly, on this 4 day of March , 201 5 , in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, it is ORDERED:

The Court hereby enters the Consent Judgment in its entirety as to all Parties.


Charles J. Zamec
United States District Judge